Intermec Technologies Corp. v. Palm, Inc. Doc. 185

DAVID T. BIDERMAN, Bar No. 101577
JUDITH B. GITTERMAN, Bar No. 115661
M. CHRISTOPHER JHANG, Bar No. 211463
PERKINS COIE LLP
Four Embarcadero Center, Suite 2400
San Francisco, CA 94111-4131
Telephone: (415) 344-7000
Facsimile: (415) 344-7050
Email: DBiderman@perkinscoie.com
Email: JGitterman@perkinscoie.com
Email: CJhang@perkinscoie.com

Attorneys for Defendant Google Inc.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, SAN JOSE DIVISION

CLRB HANSON INDUSTRIES, LLC d/b/a INDUSTRIAL PRINTING, and HOWARD STERN, on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated,

Plaintiffs,

v.

GOOGLE, INC.,

Defendant.

CASE NO. C O5-03649 JW

~~[PROPOSED]~~ ORDER GRANTING GOOGLE INC.'S ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE DOCUMENTS UNDER SEAL IN CONNECTION WITH GOOGLE INC.'S REPLY TO PLAINTIFFS' SUPPLEMENTAL OPPOSITION TO GOOGLE INC.'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT

Date: June 11, 2007
Time: 9:00 a.m.
Dept.: Courtroom 8
Judge: Honorable James Ware

Dockets.Justia.com

Defendant Google Inc.'s ("Google") Motion for Leave to File Documents Under Seal in Connection with Google Inc.'s Reply to Plaintiffs' Supplemental Opposition to Google Inc.'s Motion for Summary Judgment was heard before this Court on June 11, 2007, at 9:00 a.m. Upon consideration of this Motion and the supporting Declaration of M. Christopher Jhang filed therewith, the Court finds there is good cause to grant Google's request to file the following documents under seal:

1. **GOOGLE INC.'S REPLY TO PLAINTIFFS' SUPPLEMENTAL OPPOSITION TO GOOGLE INC.'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT;**

2. **EXHIBITS A-C OF THE SUPPLEMENTAL DECLARATION OF M. CHRISTOPHER JHANG IN SUPPORT OF GOOGLE INC.'S REPLY TO PLAINTIFFS' SUPPLEMENTAL OPPOSITION TO GOOGLE INC.'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT**

GOOD CAUSE having been shown, the Court finds that:

(1) The parties possess overriding confidentiality interests that overcome the right of public access to the record in the following documents;

(2) The parties' overriding confidentiality interests support sealing the record;

(3) A substantial probability exists that the parties' overriding confidentiality interests will be prejudiced if the record is not sealed;

(4) The proposed sealing is narrowly tailored; and

(5) No less restrictive means exist to achieve this overriding interest.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Google's Motion for Leave to File Documents Under Seal is GRANTED.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: July 9 2007

The Honorable James Ware
United States District Judge